



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. SCHNEIDER-NIESKENS 10/683,754 10/10/2003 Reinhold Schneider-Nieskens 5049 EXAMINER 25889 7590 02/09/2005 WILLIAM COLLARD ISABELLA, DAVID J COLLARD & ROE, P.C. PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1077 NORTHERN BOULEVARD ROSLYN, NY 11576 3738

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/683,754	SCHNEIDER-NIESKENS, REINHOLD
	Examiner	Art Unit
	DAVID J ISABELLA	3738
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 October 2003.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims .		
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 12 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-5,7-9 and 11 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 6 and 10 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/10/2003.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	

Art Unit: 3738

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2,4,5,7,8,9,11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Naestoft, et al (5071433).

Naestoft, et al discloses a breast prosthesis comprising a back section adapted for facing a user 18 and an adhesive element 30 forming a continuous surface substantially conforming to said back section said breast prosthesis said adhesive element comprising an inner body lined with a material (see column 8, lines 60+), wherein a side of said adhesive element facing said breast prosthesis detachably secured to said back section adhesive of said breast prosthesis and a side said is detachably element adapted for facing a user adherable to the user.

Claims 2 and 4, see column 8, lines 60+.

Claim 5, see hook/loop fastener 20.

Claim 7, the profile of the breast form of Naestoft et al is shell-shaped as broadly claimed.

Claim 8, see annular region 18.

Claim 9, see elevated edge 16 adjacent the annular region 18.

Art Unit: 3738

Claim 11, in so far as limiting the scope of the claim, "light weight" is fully met by the breast form of Naestoft, et al.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Naestoft, et al (5071433) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Plass (GB 2202745).

Plass teaches the use of silicone as the adhesive material. Naestoft et al does not disclose any specific material suitable for use as the adhesive. In view of the teachings of Plass, to use silicone as the adhesive for providing detachability to the breast form of Naestoft, et al would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 12 is allowed.

Art Unit: 3738

Claims 6 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID J ISABELLA whose telephone number is 703-308-3060. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CORRINE MCDERMOTT can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAVID INSABELLA Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738

DJI 2/5/2005